Case 3:09-cv-01152-SI Document 68 Filed 07/14/10 Page 1 of 4

1	Gregory L. Lippetz (State Bar No. 154228) glippetz@jonesday.com Cora L. Schmid (State Bar No. 237267) cschmid@jonesday.com JONES DAY 1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, CA 94303 Telephone: 650-739-3939	David N. Kuhn (State Bar No. 73389) Attorney-at-Law	
2		144 Hagar Avenue Piedmont, CA 94611 Telephone: (510) 653-4983 E-mail: dnkuhn@pacbell.net	
3			
4			
5	Facsimile: 650-739-3900	Attorney for Plaintiff Gregory Bender	
6	Attorneys for Defendant		
7	Maxim Integrated Products, Inc.		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11	Gregory Bender,	Case No. C09-01152-SI	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR	
13	V.	ORDER AND [PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING PRETRIAL	
14	Maxim Integrated Products, Inc.,	SCHEDULING ORDER	
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4) and Civil L.R. 6-2, Defendant Maxim Integrated		
18	Products, Inc., ("Defendant") and Plaintiff Gregory Bender ("Plaintiff"), through their respective		
19	counsel, hereby jointly request that the Court modify the existing Pretrial Schedule, issued on		
20	February 23, 2010. (Docket No. 51.)		
21	WHEREAS, on October 28, 2009, the Court granted Defendants' Motion to Temporarily		
22	Relieve Maxim's Discovery Obligations pending a dispute between the parties regarding the		
23	sufficiency of Plaintiff's Infringement Contentions under Patent L.R. 3-1. (Docket No. 34.)		
24	WHEREAS, Defendant's obligations to serve disclosures under Patent L.R. 3-3 and 3-4		
25	were among the discovery obligations that the Court ordered relieved. (<i>Id.</i>)		
26	WHEREAS, on November 19, 2009, the Court ordered that its previous October 28, 2009		
27	order granting Defendant temporary relief from its discovery obligations shall remain in place		
28			
		STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER AND [PROPOSED]	

1	until the dispute between the parties regarding the sufficiency of Plaintiff's Infringement	
2	Contentions is resolved. (Docket No. 42.)	
3	WHEREAS, on February 23, 2010, this Court issued an Order After Hearing which set the	
4	following deadlines for this action:	
5	a Further Case Management Conference for July 9, 2010,	
6	• the Joint Claim Construction Brief due July 16, 2010,	
7	Plaintiff's Claim Construction Statement due July 30, 2010,	
8	Defendant's Claim Construction Statement due August 13, 2010,	
9	Plaintiffs Response Claim Construction Statement due August 20, 2010,	
10	• the tutorial for September 8, 2010,	
11	 and the claim construction hearing for September 9, 2010. 	
12	(Docket No. 51.)	
13	WHEREAS, on March 22, 2010, the Court granted Defendant's Motion to Compel	
14	Infringement Contentions That Comply With Patent Local Rule 3-1. (Docket No. 54.)	
15	WHEREAS, the March 22, 2010 order stated that "the Court will not order defendant to	
16	proceed with discovery," in light of the order. (<i>Id.</i>)	
17	WHEREAS, on April 2, 2010, the Court set the deadline for Plaintiff to serve his Second	
18	Amended Infringement Contentions as April 21, 2010, pursuant to the parties stipulation.	
19	(Docket No. 56.)	
20	WHEREAS, on April 28, 2010, Plaintiff served his Second Amended Infringement	
21	Contentions, but Defendant contended these amended contentions did not comply with the	
22	Court's March 22, 2010 order.	
23	WHEREAS, on May 28, 2010, Defendant filed a Motion For Sanction Of Dismissal based	
24	on Defendant's contentions that Plaintiff's Second Amended Infringement Contentions did not	
25	comply with the Court's March 22, 2010 order.	
26	WHEREAS, on June 30, 2010, the Court ordered the hearing on Defendant's motion	
27	rescheduled to July 30, 2010, pursuant to the parties' stipulation to allow deposition of Plaintiff's	
28	declarant for the pending motion.	

1	WHEREAS, on July 1, 2010, the Court rescheduled the Further Case Management		
2	Conference scheduled for July 9, 2010, to July 30, 2010, to coincide with the hearing on		
3	Defendant's Motion for Sanction of Dismissal.		
4	WHEREAS, the Court has not yet modified the claim construction schedule it ordered on		
5	February 23, 2010, which includes claim construction briefing deadlines prior to and		
6	simultaneous with the next Further Case Management Conference, including a deadline for a		
7	Joint Claim Construction Brief of July 16, 2010, and a deadline for Plaintiff's Statement of July		
8	30, 2010.		
9	WHEREAS, because the deadlines under Patent L.R. 3-3 and 3-4 have been stayed, the		
10	deadlines under Patent L.R. 4-1, 4-2, and 4-4—which are triggered by service of Patent L.R. 3-3		
11	disclosures—have also been stayed. Thus service of invalidity contentions, exchange of proposed		
12	terms for construction, and claim construction discovery have not yet taken place.		
13	WHEREAS, the parties believe that good cause under Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4) exists to		
14	modify the pre-trial scheduling order.		
15	THE PARTIES HEREBY SUBMIT THIS STIPULATED REQUEST THAT:		
16	The Court cancel the following currently scheduled events:		
17	 the Joint Claim Construction Brief set for July 16, 2010, 		
18	 Plaintiff's Claim Construction Statement due July 30, 2010, 		
19	 Defendant's Claim Construction Statement due August 13, 2010, 		
20	 Plaintiffs Response Claim Construction Statement due August 20, 2010, 		
21	• the tutorial set for September 8, 2010,		
22	 and the claim construction hearing set for September 9, 2010, 		
23	and that the Court consider the pretrial schedule at the July 30, 2010 Further Case Management		
24	Conference.		
25			
26			
27			
28			

Case 3:09-cv-01152-SI Document 68 Filed 07/14/10 Page 4 of 4

1		Respectfully submitted,
2	Dated: July 13, 2010	Jones Day
3	Batea. vary 13, 2010	Jones Buy
4		By: /s/ Gregory L. Lippetz
5		Greg L. Lippetz State Bar No. 154228
6		JONES DAY Silicon Valley Office
7		1755 Embarcadero Road
8		Palo Alto, CA 94303 Telephone: 650-739-3939 Facsimile:650-739-3900
9		Counsel for Defendant
10		Maxim Integrated Products, Inc.
11		
12	In accordance with General Order No	45 Section X(R) the above signatory attests that
13	In accordance with General Order No. 45, Section $X(B)$, the above signatory attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatory below.	
14	concurrence in the fining of this document has been obtained from the signatory below.	
15	Dated: July 13, 2010	By: /s/ David Kuhn David N. Kuhn
16		Attorney-at-Law
17		144 Hagar Avenue Piedmont, California 94611
18		Telephone: (510) 653-4983
19		Counsel for Plaintiff Gregory Bender
20		
21		
22	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:	
23		
24		
25	DATED:, 2010	By: THE HON. SUSAN ILLSTON
26		United States District Court Judge
27		
28	SVI-82604v1	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER AND [PROPOSED

STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER AND [PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING PRETRIAL SCHEDULING ORDER CASE NO. 09-cv-01152-SI